

**CONCLUSIONS ON THE IMPACT OF THE  
BINGER HERMANN POLICY AND  
HOW TO CORRECTLY INTERPRET THE FICTITIOUS AND  
FALSIFIED PLAT AND FIELD NOTES RETURNED  
BY THE U.S. DEPUTY MINERAL SURVEYORS**

1. The example described in the "Discrepancies in the Official Record" portion of this presentation (see next slide) demonstrates how the mineral surveyor dealt with cases where the theoretical position of a prior official survey comes before its monumented position. While the plat shows no gap between the surveyed claim and the prior official survey, the monuments define a real gap between the claims; and,
2. The last example in this portion of the presentation, the Polaris Lode, Sur. No. 248, Iron Dyke Lode, Sur. No. 249 and October and Triangle lode, Sur. No. 15289 demonstrate the other alternative. That being the case where the monumented position of the prior official survey comes before the theoretical position of the prior official survey (see the last slide). In this case, the plat shows a gap between the claims, but the monumented position shows that the side line of the Polaris Lode is common with the end lines of the October and Triangle lode claims.